



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/697,395	10/27/2000	Topi Koskinen	460-009824-US(PAR)	2829

7590

11/08/2006

Clarence A. Green  
Perman & Green, LLP  
425 Post Road  
Fairfield, CT 06430

EXAMINER

SEFCHECK, GREGORY B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2616	

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/697,395

Applicant(s)

KOSKINEN ET AL.

Examiner

Gregory B. Sefcheck

Art Unit

2616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 August 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 10-22 and 24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 10-22 and 24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- Applicant's Amendment filed 8/28/2006 is acknowledged
- Claims 1, 5, 11, 18, and 24 have been amended.
- Claims 9 and 23 had been previously cancelled.
- Claims 1-8, 10-22, and 24 remain pending.

### ***Claim Objections***

1. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities:

The present amendments to claim 5 have resulted in a lack of antecedent basis. Because "setting up", in relation to the circuit-switched connection, has been deleted on line 2 of the claim, "said setting up" on line 4 of the claim now lacks antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10-14, 16-19, 21-22, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frid et al. (US006560239B1), hereafter Frid.

- In regards to Claim 1, 2, 8, 10, 11, 16, 18, 21, 22, and 24

Frid discloses a method, system, terminal, and software implementation for retaining a packet data (first) connection in a wireless system during a circuit-switched (second) connection to the wireless terminal (Title; Abstract; Col. 4, lines 33-52; claims 1,11,18,24 – first connection is a packet connection and second connection is a circuit-switched connection; claims 10,16,22 – terminal is a wireless terminal and network is a mobile communication network).

Referring to Fig. 3, Frid shows establishing a packet data connection between a terminal and a packet-switched network, including negotiating a communications protocol with a peer, such as a server associated with an Internet Service Provider or ISP (302-310; Col. 5, lines 20-30; claim 1,11,18,24 – means for establishing data connection between application server of network and terminal using packet data service as bearer).

Frid further shows establishing a circuit-switched connection between the terminal and the network (312-316; claim 1,11,18,24 – means for establishing circuit-switched connection between network and terminal).

Frid shows that the terminal sends a message (318) for interrupting the packet data connection, but maintaining the connection protocol communication with the server, while accepting the circuit-switched connection (320-336; claim 1,11,18,24 – means for interrupting the packet data service for the time of the circuit-switched connection; claim 1,11,18,24 – means for setting up a message for maintaining the packet data connection in connection with setting up of the circuit-switched connection;

claim 1,11,18,24 – means for automatically starting the setting up of the message maintaining the packet data connection; claim 1,11,18,24 – means for transmitting message in connection with establishing circuit-switched connection; claim 2 – message for maintaining the packet data connection is generated in the terminal and transmitted from the terminal to the server of the network; claim 8,21 – maintenance message is supplemented with a “no operation” command).

Frid does not explicitly disclose the message includes a command to reset a time-out counter in the server.

However, Frid discloses that a packet data connection that has not timed-out may be re-established upon termination of the circuit-switched call (Abstract; Col. 3, lines 5-7; Col. 7, lines 15-18; Col. 8, lines 38-40; Col. 9, lines 30-41). This disclosure in Frid illustrates that a timer or counter associated with the interrupted/maintained packet data connection is set (reset) for the duration of the circuit-switched call to monitor for time-out.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to explicitly include a command to set a time-out counter for purposes of re-establishing the packet data connection in the message of Frid. One would be motivated to make such a modification because packet data connections that are interrupted and maintained during an accepted circuit-switched call can only be re-established if they have not timed-out. Therefore, setting a counter upon interruption would allow for the monitoring of a time-out condition for the packet data connection.

- In regards to Claim 3 and 13,

Frid discloses a method, system, terminal, and software implementation for retaining a packet data (first) connection in a wireless system during a circuit-switched (second) connection to the wireless terminal that covers all limitations of the parent claims.

Frid shows that the network maintains the parameters of the packet data connection (claim 3,13 – message for maintaining the PPP parameters of the packet data connection is set up at the peer – server – to which the terminal is connected) following receiving an acceptance message from the terminal for the circuit-switched connection (Fig. 3, 318-322; Col. 7, lines 32-65; claim 3,13 – sending information about interrupting the packet data connection from the terminal to the network).

- In regards to Claim 4 and 14,

Frid discloses a method, system, terminal, and software implementation for retaining a packet data (first) connection in a wireless system during a circuit-switched (second) connection to the wireless terminal that covers all limitations of the parent claims.

Referring to Fig. 3, Frid shows that the method and terminal receives a message requesting to set up a circuit-switched connection (316; claim 4,14 – means to receive message to setup circuit-switched connection at the terminal).

Frid further shows that the acceptance of the circuit-switched connection (324) is transmitted from the terminal to the network after the maintenance information for the

packet data connection is transmitted (318-320; claim 4,14 – means for transmitting reply message to the request for the circuit-switched connection from terminal to network after the message for maintaining the packet data connection is transmitted).

- In regards to Claim 6, 7, and 19,

Frid discloses a method, system, terminal, and software implementation for retaining a packet data (first) connection in a wireless system during a circuit-switched (second) connection to the wireless terminal that covers all limitations of the parent claims.<sup>4</sup>

Frid shows that the packet data connection may communicate information between the network and a termination endpoint, such as the Internet or a server on a LAN (Col. 1, lines 27-35; Col. 5, lines 20-30; claim 6,7,19 – network communicates with a LAN/Internet; claim 6,7,19 – packet data connection is between terminal and server in LAN/Internet)

When the circuit-switched connection is accepted and the maintenance of the packet data connection is set up, the maintenance message is received at the termination endpoint (Fig. 3, 318-322; Col. 7, lines 57-65; claim 6,7,19 – network transmits maintenance message to server/Internet).

- In regards to Claim 12,

Frid discloses a method, system, terminal, and software implementation for retaining a packet data (first) connection in a wireless system during a circuit-switched

(second) connection to the wireless terminal that covers all limitations of the parent claims.

Frid shows that the terminal is equipped to generate and transmit a message to the network indicating that the packet data connection is to be maintained during a circuit-switched connection (Fig. 3, 318-322; Col. 7, lines 18-55; claim 12 – means for generating and means for transmitting the message for maintaining the packet data connection).

- In regards to Claim 17,

Frid discloses a method, system, terminal, and software implementation for retaining a packet data (first) connection in a wireless system during a circuit-switched (second) connection to the wireless terminal that covers all limitations of the parent claims.

Frid discloses a terminal that comprises circuitry for processing (processor; claim 17 – terminal comprises a data processor) messages for the retention of a packet data connection for the duration of a circuit-switched connection (Fig. 3, 318-322; Col. 11, lines 6-31; claim 17 – means for setting up message for maintaining the packet data connection are arranged in the data processor).

4. Claims 5, 15, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frid in view of Chen et al. (US006198945B1), hereafter Chen.



- In regards to Claim 5, 15 and 20,

Frid discloses a method, system, terminal, and software implementation for retaining a packet data (first) connection in a wireless system during a circuit-switched (second) connection to the wireless terminal that covers all limitations of the parent claims.

Frid does not explicitly show selecting and adding a telephone number to the message for setting up the circuit-switched connection. Frid also does not show transmitting the maintenance message for the packet data connection after selecting the telephone number but before setting up the circuit-switched connection.

Chen discloses a method and system that enables a mobile terminal to place a first connection on hold while initiating a second connection by selecting a telephone number and adding that number to a message for setting up a second connection (Fig. 3, Col. 6, lines 15-63; claim 5,15,20 – means to select and add a telephone number to message for setting up the second connection; claim 5,15,20 – message maintaining the packet data connection is transmitted after the selection of a telephone number, before setting up the circuit-switched connection)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method, system, and terminal of Frid by selecting a telephone number for setting up the circuit-switched connection before maintaining the packet data connection and setting up the circuit-switched connection, as shown by Chen. This modification would allow a packet data connection to be maintained during either an incoming or an outgoing circuit-switched connection.

***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments filed 8/28/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- In the Remarks on pgs. 10-12 of the Amendment, the Applicant contends that the disclosure of Frid does not pertain to solving the same problem as the present application, where Frid deals with re-establishment of the PS bearer and the present application pertains to application-level timeout during an interrupted PS bearer. Applicant contends that the present claim amendments are made to illustrate these differences and differentiate from Frid.
- The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The present amendments do not limit the claims as contended by the Applicant. It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies, such as the differentiation between connection- and application-level time-out counters, are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Applicant attempts to limit the claim language based on the basis of a narrow interpretation of both the broad claim language and the disclosures of Frid. It is the opinion of the Examiner that the present amendments actually broaden the pending claims, rather than narrow them in an attempt to differentiate from Frid. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

- In the Remarks on pg. 11 of the Amendment, the Applicant contends that Frid does not disclose application servers. Applicant further argues that differences between application-level timers proposed in the present application and those disclosed by Frid are not compatible.
- The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Again, Applicant appears to be reading the claim language more narrowly than it is written. Frid discloses negotiating the disclosed protocols between a terminal and a peer, where that peer may be a server associated with an Internet Service Provider, or ISP (Col. 5, lines 20-30). This disclosure of Frid reads on the claimed "application server" as broadly claimed by Applicant.
- In the Remarks on pg. 12 of the Amendment, Applicant reiterates arguments presented on 1/20/2006, in which Applicant contends that there is no motivation to combine Chen with Frid because Chen discusses only circuit switched call signaling and does not mention a data connection.
- The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Chen and Frid are analogous because they both pertain to call signaling in a communications network. Chen is not required to disclose a data connection in order to disclose the claim limitations that are not explicitly shown by Frid. Those limitations involve the call signaling for setting up of a circuit-switched call. Therefore, the teachings of Chen are applicable to aspects of the circuit-switched connection setup in Frid, and the combination thereof is proper.

***Conclusion***

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory B. Sefcheck whose telephone number is 571-272-3098. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GBS *GBS*  
11-3-2006

*Seema S. Rao*  
SEEMA S. RAO 11/6/06  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600